







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/810,792	03/16/2001	Nathan G. Clark	990471 U2 USA	9172	
7:	590 03/25/2003				
John F. Booth CRUTSINGER & BOOTH Suite 1950, Thanksgiving Tower			EXAMINER		
			NELSON, PETER A		
1601 Elm Stree Dallas, TX 75	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3641		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)						
· 🚗		09/810,792	CL	ARK ET AL.	/ 1					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	t Unit	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
;		Peter A Nelson	36	41	V					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHO THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.	.136(a). In no event, however the ply within the statutory mining the will apply and will expire SI the cause the application to the	er, may a reply be timely fi num of thirty (30) days will X (6) MONTHS from the m secome ABANDONED (35	led be considered timely. nailing date of this cor 5 U.S.C. § 133).						
earne	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ng date of this communication	on, even if timely filed, may	reduce any	÷					
Status	_ :				<i>.</i> *					
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	· ·								
2a)⊠		his action is non-fin								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-22 and 25-37 is/are pending in the	e application.			•					
4	4a) Of the above claim (s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	tion.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 25-37</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election requiren	nent.							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment	(s)									
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pater Other:							
J.S. Patent and Tr	adamark Office									

~ Application/Control Number: 09/810,792

Art Unit: 3641

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-22 and 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Leidel et al, newly cited.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-22 and 25-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reese et al in view of Kock et al. Reese et al teach a shaped charge containing up to 90% tungsten in combination with powdered metal binder as the materials for the liner. Kock et al teach a powder liner composition wherein the percentage of tungsten is up to 95%. It would be obvious to employ a higher percentage than 90% tungsten in the shaped charge array of Reese et al in view of Kock et al's teaching that such a percentage will work.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,792

Art Unit: 3641

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter A Nelson at telephone number 703-306-4166.

やeter A Nelson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641